**S T A T E M E N T**

**of the Habilitation Commission on the appointment of Ing. arch. Name Surname for an associate professor (in Slovak ‘docent’) in the field of study and habilitation procedure and inaugural procedure Architecture and Urbanism / Design according to § 35 par. 4 of Act no. 269/2018 Coll.**

**NAME SURNAME:**  Ing. arch. Name Surname

**Born:**  dd Mon yyyy

**Workplace:**  xxx

**Academic and scientific titles:** xxx – Ing.

 xxx – PhD.

1. **TITLE OF HABILITATION THESIS:**

xxx

1. **TITLE OF HABILITATION LECTURE:**

xxx

1. **DATE AND PLACE OF DEFENSE:**

dd Mon 20xx at 10 a.m., FAD STU Bratislava, Slovakia, room no. 117

1. **DATE AND PLACE OF HABILITATION LECTURE:**

same

Published in the SME daily on dd Mon 20XX: link

and on the Faculty webpage on dd Mon 20XX: link

1. **HABILITATION COMMISSION:**

Chairperson:

 Full name with titles

Members:

 Full name with titles

 Full name with titles

Opponents:

 Full name with titles

 Full name with titles

 Full name with title

1. **REVIEWS OF OPPONENTS OF HABILITATION THESIS:**

All three opposition reviews are positive with a recommendation to appoint the habilitation candidate after a successful defense as an associate professor (in Slovak ‘docent’) in the field of habilitation and inaugural Architecture and Urbanism / Design according to § 35 par. 4 of Act no. 269/2018 Coll. (in the field of study Architecture and Urban planning / Design).

 **Full name with titles**

xxx

 **Full name with titles**

xxx

 **Full name with titles**

xxx

1. **STATEMENT OF HABILITATION COMMISSION ON RESULTS OF PEDAGOGICAL, SCIENTIFIC-RESEARCH, AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:**

**Ing. arch. Name Surname has met the required habilitation criteria in the field of Architecture and Urbanism / Design.**

xxx

1. **EVALUATION OF HABILITATION LECTURE BY HABILITATION COMMISSION:**

Subject of habilitation lecture: **Title**

Ing. arch. Name Surname ...

1. **EVALUATION OF DEFENSE OF HABILITATION THESIS BY HABILITATION COMMISSION:**

xxx

1. **STATEMENT OF HABILITATION COMMISSION ON SIMILARITY REPORT OF HABILITATION THESIS:**

xxx

1. **RECOMMENDATION FOR DECISION OF SCIENTIFIC AND ARTISTIC BOARD OF FAD STU:**

Habilitation commission in accordance with FAD STU criteria and in accordance with Ministry of Education and Culture SR Decree no. 246/2019 Coll. on the procedure for obtaining scientific-pedagogical titles or art-pedagogical titles, associate professor (in Slovak ‘docent’) and professor, after studying the submitted materials, based on the assessment of the pedagogical, scientific, and artistic-creative profile, the results of the habilitation thesis, the level of the habilitation lecture, based on positive opponent reviews and a successful defense, states that

**Ing. arch. Name Surname**

**meets the conditions for appointment as an associate professor (in Slovak ‘docent’)**

and recommends the Scientific and Artistic Board of FAD STU his/her/their appointment in the field of study and the field of habilitation procedure and inaugural procedure Architecture and Urbanism / Design according to §35 par. 4 of Act no. 269/2018 Coll.

 .....................................................................................

 prof. Name Surname

 chairperson of the Habilitation Commission

Members:

xxx ................................................ ........................................

xxx ................................................ ........................................

Opponents:

xxx ................................................ ........................................

xxx ................................................ ........................................

xxx ................................................ ........................................